

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/631,941	08/03/2000		Dug In Lyu	K-200	9312
7	590	12/21/2004		EXAMINER	
Fleshner & K 14500 Avion P				VOLPER, T	HOMAS E
Suite 125	w			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chantilly, VA 20151				2665	
				DATE MAILED: 12/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2. 8	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/631,941	LYU, DUG IN	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas Volper	2665	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 08 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice it is applicated and the same it is applicated an applicated and the same it is applicated and applicated an	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The danave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models.	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 36(a) and the appropriate exithe final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:	P.	
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t	pelow);		•
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clai	ms.
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.	· · · ——		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	•
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10. Other:	CURCOVI	HUY D. VU SORY PATENT EXAM	Try INER
		INI CEV CENTER 26	1112.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant has added a new limitation to claim 1 specifying the deciding of transmission starting points of each physical channel "from the base station" and transmitting each physical channel with these different starting points "from the base station". However, the combination of Ovesjo in view of McDonough and Zehavi clearly relates to physical channels "from the base station". Specifically, McDonough discussing phase-shifting channels "from the base station" (col. 2, line 66 - col. 3, line 14).